

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SIXTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3409
TELEPHONE: 415.434.4484
FACSIMILE: 415.434.4507

LAURENCE R. ARNOLD, CA BAR NO. 133715
EILEEN R. RIDLEY, CA BAR NO. 151735
SCOTT P. INCIARDI, CA BAR NO. 228814
Attorneys for STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL,

Petitioners,

vs.

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715,

Respondent.

Case No: 5:07-CV-05158-JF

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STANFORD HOSPITAL AND
CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION
TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO
DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

Date: August 27, 2008
Time: 9:30 A.M.
Dept: Courtroom 4, 5th Floor

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel
Magis. Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner and Counter-
Respondent,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents and Counter-
Petitioners.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00213-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STANFORD HOSPITAL AND CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

CASE NOS. 5:07-CV-05158-JF, 5:08-CV-00213-JF, 5:08-CV-00215-JF;
5:08-CV-00216-JF; 5:08-CV-01726-JF; 5:08-CV-01727-JF

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00215-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00216-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01726-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01727-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

2

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STANFORD HOSPITAL AND CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

CASE NOS. 5:07-CV-05158-JF, 5:08-CV-00213-JF, 5:08-CV-00215-JF;
5:08-CV-00216-JF; 5:08-CV-01726-JF; 5:08-CV-01727-JF

1
2 The Motion to Compel Responses To Discovery Subpoena Propounded To UHW
3 (the "Motion") brought by Stanford Hospital And Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's
4 Hospital (the "Hospitals"), in the above-referenced related cases came on for hearing
5 before the above-captioned Court, the Honorable Richard Seeborg presiding, on
6 _____, 2008.

7 The Court, having considered the pleadings in this matter, and all papers submitted
8 in support of, and in opposition to, said motion, and having heard the argument of
9 counsel, and good cause appearing therefor, hereby **GRANTS** the Motion in its entirety.

10 Service Employees International Union, United Healthcare Workers - West
11 ("UHW") shall provide full and complete responses to the subpoenas propounded upon it
12 by the Hospitals in the above-referenced cases. UHW's responses shall include
13 electronically stored information that is responsive to the subpoenas, including website-
14 related information. Any withholding of responsive information on the basis of a
15 privilege or work product protection shall be supported by a privilege log that identifies
16 the documents withheld on a document by document basis, including the following
17 information:

- 18 1) the Bates number of the document;
- 19 2) the date of the document;
- 20 3) the specific individual author/s of the document;
- 21 4) the specific individual recipient/s of the document;
- 22 5) a precise document description;
- 23 6) the specific privilege/s claimed; and
- 24 7) the present location of the document.

25 UHW shall not withhold responsive information not subject to a privilege or work
26 product protection.

27 UHW is ordered to serve responsive documents on or before the tenth business

1 day following the date of this order.

2 It is further ordered that UHW shall pay the Hospitals' reasonable expenses
3 incurred in making this motion, including attorneys fees, in the amount of
4 \$_____.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated:

8
9
10 _____
11 HON. RICHARD SEEBORG
12 MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THE
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
15 CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27